

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/823,782   | 04/14/2004      | Susan Watkins        | 66116-046-7         | 4724             |
| 25269  | 7590 12/13/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC                                    |                 |                      | HALE, GLORIA M      |                  |
| FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST<br>1300 I STREET, NW |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|  | ON, DC 20005    |                      | 3765                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                    |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/823,782  | WATKINS ET AL                   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                        |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gloria Hale   | 3765                            |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | ·<br>s action is non-final.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| •  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.               |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | ·   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application   | 1   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 Se<i>ptember 2004</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:   | ate atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/823,782

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 3765

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains over 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claims 1- 19, no structure has been claimed to define the pants as being fire protective pants other than that they are formed of a fabric that would inhibit fire to a degree as broadly claimed. In regard to claim 1 it is not clear as to whether the front and rear panels of the outer shell are the entire trouser legs or are some other part. The claim appears to be incomplete it in that it is not clear as to whether the panel extends to an inseam since no inseam has been claimed in claim 1. It is also not clear as to whether the comparison of the panel sizes is done when the garment is constructed or when the components are just pattern pieces laid out flat. It is also not clear as to whether the comparison is made when the rear panels are flattened out. The claim is unclear in that it is stated that the outseam is on the rear of the garment yet it is at the edge of the front panel. The claim should clearly claim the structure, which includes the inseam locations since it is not clear as to whether the lower edge is the hem edge. In regard to claim 3 it is not clear as to which plane or direction the lower

Application/Control Number: 10/823,782

Art Unit: 3765

edge is concave in. Is it in the flat plane or across sectional plane to form a tubular lower edge. In regard to claim 4 the location of the pleats is unclear. In regard to claims 5 and 7-9 it is not clear as to how the pleats are in register.

However, the claims, as best understood, have been examined on their merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benrube (D 223,077).

Benrube discloses a pair of fire protective pants as broadly claimed in that the pants protect from fire to the degree as broadly claimed wherein the preamble has been considered as intended use since no structure has been claimed to distinguish them over the prior art. Benrube discloses the right and left front portions and the right and left rear portions structured and connected as claimed as seen in figures 1 and 2 where figure 1 is the front view and figure 2 is the rear view.

Claims 2-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gloria Hale Briman, Evamin

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765

\*\*\*